Literatur zu Schwager I

ALLENFALLS WISSENSLÜCKE

Hebammenforum 27(10): 12–16.

Benjamin DR et al. (2019): Relationship between diastasis of the rectus abdominis muscle (DRAM) and musculoskeletal dysfunctions, pain and quality of life: a systematic review. In: Physiotherapy, 105(1): 24–34.

Bø K et al. (2017): Pelvic floor muscle function, pelvic floor dysfunction and diastasis recti abdominis: prospective cohort study. In: Neururol Urodyn, 36(3): 716–721.

Coldron Y et al. (2008): Postpartum characteristics of rectus abdominis on ultrasound imaging. In: Man Ther, 13(2): 112–121.

Davenport MH et al. (2025): 2025 Canadian Guideline for Physical Activity, Sedentary Behaviour and Sleep Throughout the First Year Postpartum. In: Br J Sports Med, 59: 515–526. DOI: 10.1136/bjsports-2025-109785.

Eriksson Crommert M et al. (2020): Women's experiences of living with increased inter-recti distance after childbirth: an interview study. In: BMC Women's Health, 20: 260.

Fernandes da Mota PG et al. (2015): Prevalence and risk factors of diastasis recti abdominis from late pregnancy to 6 months postpartum, and relationship with lumbo-pelvic pain. In: Man Ther, 20(1): 200–205.

Fuentes Aparicio L et al. (2021): Self-reported symptoms in women with diastasis rectus abdominis: a systematic review. In: J Gynecol Obstet Hum Reprod, 50(7): 101995.

Gluppe S et al. (2021): Women with diastasis recti abdominis might have weaker abdominal muscles and more abdominal pain, but no higher prevalence of pelvic floor disorders, low back and pelvic girdle pain than women without diastasis recti abdominis. In: Physiotherapy, 111: 57–65.

Gluppe S et al. (2023): Curl-up exercises improve abdominal muscle strength without worsening inter-recti distance in women with diastasis recti abdominis postpartum: a randomised controlled trial. In: Journal of Physiotherapy, 69(3): 160–167.

Hagovská M et al. (2024): Relationships of diastasis recti abdominis with stress urinary incontinence and pelvic floor muscle dysfunction in postpartum women. In: Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol, 301: 222–226.

Izydorczyk B et al. (2021): Connections between perceived social support and the body image in the group of women with diastasis recti abdominis. In: Front Psychol 12: 707775.

Kaufmann RL et al. (2022): Normal width of the linea alba, prevalence, and risk factors for diastasis recti abdominis in adults: a cross-sectional study. In: Hernia, 26(2): 609–618.

Kjaer M et al. (2009): From mechanical loading to collagen synthesis, structural changes and function in human tendon. In: Scand J Med Sci Sports, 19(4): 500–510.

Lee D/Hodges PW (2016): Behaviour of the linea alba during a curl-up task in diastasis rectus abdominis: an observational study. In: J Orthop Sports Phys Ther, 46(7): 580–589.

Liaw L-J et al. (2011): The relationships between inter-recti distance measured by ultrasound imaging and abdominal muscle function in postpartum women: a 6-month follow-up study. In: J Orthop Sports Phys Ther, 41(6): 435–443.

Naqvi T et al. (2005): Relaxin's induction of metalloproteinases is associated with the loss of collagen and glycosaminoglycans in synovial joint fibrocartilaginous explants. In: Arthritis Res Ther, 7(1): R1–R11.

Sokunbi G. et al. (2023): Is diastasis recti abdominis associated with low back pain? A systematic review. In: World Neurosurg, 174: 119–125.

Sperstad J et al. (2016): Diastasis recti abdominis during pregnancy and 12 months after childbirth: prevalence, risk factors and report of lumbopelvic pain, Br J Sports Med, 50(17): 1092–1096.

Van Wingerden JP et al. (2020): Anterior and posterior rectus abdominis sheath stiffness in relation to diastasis recti: abdominal wall training or not? In: J Bodyw Mov Ther, 24(1): 147–153.

Yalçınkaya B et al. (2025): Neuromusculoskeletal disorders in pregnancy revisited: Insights and clinical implications. In: Jt Dis Relat Surg, 36(3): 741–750. DOI: 10.52312/jdrs.2025.2242.

Zhu J et al. (2026): Comparative effectiveness of rehabilitation therapies for diastasis recti abdominis: a systematic review and Bayesian network meta-analysis. In: Int J Gynaecol Obstet, 172(2): 697–709. DOI: 10.1002/ijgo.70413.